Francisella tularensis subspecies novicida chitinases and Sec secretion system contribute to biofilm formation on chitin Jeffrey J. Margolis<sup>1</sup>, Sahar El-Etr<sup>2</sup>, Lydia-Marie Joubert<sup>3</sup>, Emily Moore<sup>4</sup>, Richard Robison<sup>4</sup>, Amy Rasley<sup>2</sup>, Alfred M. Spormann<sup>5</sup>, and Denise M. Monack<sup>14</sup> Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA<sup>1</sup>. Bioscience and Biotechnology Division, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, USA<sup>2</sup>. Cell Sciences Imaging Facility, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305<sup>3</sup>. Department of Microbiology and Molecular Biology, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602, USA<sup>4</sup>. Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94304, USA<sup>5</sup>. Running Title: Francisella biofilm formation on chitin \* Corresponding Author: Mailing address: 299 Campus Dr., Fairchild Bldg. D347, Stanford, CA 94305. Phone: (650) 725-1756. Fax: (650) 723-1837. E-mail: dmonack@stanford.edu 

1

#### ABSTRACT

2 Francisella tularensis, the zoonotic cause of tularemia, can infect numerous mammals and other 3 eukaryotes. Although studying F. tularensis pathogenesis is essential to comprehending disease, 4 mammalian infection is just one step in the ecology of Francisella species. F. tularensis has 5 been isolated from aquatic environments and arthropod vectors, environments in which chitin 6 could serve as a potential carbon source and as a surface for attachment and growth. We show 7 that F. tularensis subsp. novicida forms biofilms during colonization of chitin surfaces. The 8 ability of F. tularensis to persist using chitin as a sole carbon source is dependent on chitinases, 9 since mutants lacking *chiA* or *chiB* are attenuated for chitin colonization and biofilm formation in 10 the absence of exogenous sugar. A genetic screen for biofilm mutants identified the Sec translocon export pathway and 14 secreted proteins. We show that these genes are important for 11 12 initial attachment during biofilm formation. We generated defined deletion mutants in two 13 chaperone genes (secB1 and secB2) involved in Sec-dependent secretion and in four genes that 14 encode for putative secreted proteins. All mutants were deficient for attachment to polystyrene 15 and chitin surfaces and for biofilm formation compared to wild-type F. novicida. In contrast, 16 mutations in the Sec translocon and secreted factors did not affect virulence. Our data suggest 17 biofilm formation by F. tularensis promotes persistence on chitin surfaces. Further study of the 18 interaction of F. tularensis with the chitin microenvironment may provide insight into 19 environmental survival and transmission mechanisms of this pathogen.

20

1

## **INTRODUCTION**

2	Francisella tularensis is a Gram-negative facultative intracellular pathogen that causes
3	the zoonotic disease tularemia (53). Although researchers have focused on various aspects of $F$ .
4	tularensis infections in mammalian hosts, this organism can survive and grow in one of the
5	widest environmental ranges of any studied pathogen. Indeed, F. tularensis has been isolated
6	from a variety of sources including lagomorphs, arthropods, amoeba and fresh water (3, 57, 62,
7	63). Mammals either succumb to infection or clear the bacterium (71) suggesting that mammals
8	may not support prolonged persistence of $F$ . tularensis in nature. Understanding the
9	environmental lifestyle of $F$ . tularensis will help elucidate the survival mechanisms of this
10	pathogen outside of a host and identify risks for human exposure. Recently, outbreaks of
11	tularemia were associated with fresh water, particularly outbreaks of F. tularensis subspecies
12	holarctica (Type B) in Eurasia (11, 81). While the most virulent subspecies, F. tularensis subsp.
13	tularensis (Type A), was historically linked with the arid climates of North America, a recent
14	epidemiological study found that 100% of tularemia mortality was associated with Type A1
15	strains found in moist climates of the United States (40), suggesting that water may serve as an
16	environmental reservoir for F. tularensis.
17	The survival of some bacteria in an aquatic environment is associated with their ability to

17 The survival of some bacteria in an aquatic environment is associated with their ability to 18 utilize chitin as a carbon source. Chitin is the second most abundant biopolymer in nature and 19 provides structure to many organisms, including the cell wall of fungi (5) and the exoskeleton of 20 arthropods and insects (51). This oligomer of *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine (GlcNAc) is hydrolyzed 21 by a family of enzymes, termed chitinases (6). These enzymes serve a variety of roles and are 22 conserved from bacteria to mammals. Bacterial chitinases provide environmental organisms the 23 ability to acquire carbon in otherwise nutrient-limiting conditions (37). For example, *Vibrio* 

1 cholerae, the etiological agent of cholera, utilizes chitinases to persist in marine environments on 2 copepod molts (54). The interaction of V. cholerae with chitin influences various metabolic and 3 physiologic responses in this microorganism. For example, Meibom et al. demonstrated that association with chitin and chitin-derivatives led to a specific expression profile in V. cholerae 4 5 that included two chitinase genes and the pili genes required for colonization and subsequent 6 biofilm formation on nutritive and non-nutritive surfaces (49). Environmental studies have 7 clearly shown that attachment to chitin surfaces is an integral part of the aquatic lifestyle of V. 8 cholerae, and these surface-attached bacterial communities constitute a successful survival 9 mechanism (66). 10 Formation of biofilms is associated with enhanced survival during environmental stress 11 (1) and increased resistance to antibiotics (13). Biofilms formed by many pathogenic bacteria 12 play an important role in environmental persistence and disease transmission. For instance, 13 Yersinia pestis biofilms are reported to function in transmission of plague bacteria via 14 colonization of the proventriculus of fleas and the mouth of nematodes (15, 32). We 15 hypothesized that biofilm formation by F. tularensis may represent a mechanism of persistence 16 and transmission, as well. 17 A review by Hassett et al. (30) indicated that the F. tularensis subsp. holartica live 18 vaccine strain (LVS) can form biofilms on glass coverslips (30). However, the environmental 19 relevance and molecular mechanisms of F. tularensis biofilm formation were not characterized. 20 F. tularensis subspecies encode for 2 conserved putative chitinases, chiA and chiB 21 (http://www.biohealthbase.org). Various F. tularensis subspecies have been isolated from chitin-

22 exoskeletoned arthropods (57) and from fresh water, where outbreaks have been associated with

23 chitinous crustaceans (2, 17). We therefore investigated the interaction of *F. tularensis* subsp.

*novicida* (*F. novicida*) with chitin. We show that *F. novicida* forms biofilms on natural and synthetic chitin surfaces. Formation of these bacterial communities was dependent on two chitinase genes when exogenous sugar was not present. Attachment to chitin was dependent on factors that are secreted by the Sec translocon protein export system. This mechanism of colonization is specific for environmental surfaces, because deletion of genes that facilitate attachment to chitin did not result in defects in virulence.

1

AEM Accepts published online ahead of print

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

2	Bacterial strains and culture conditions. Francisella novicida strain U112 and F. tularensis
3	subsp. holarctica live vaccine strain (LVS), (18, 61), as well as F. novicida Francisella
4	Pathogenecity Island (FPI) and $hspX$ deletion mutants (80), have been previously described. F.
5	tularensis subsp. tularensis strains, SchuS4 and AS2058 (FT-10), were provided by Jean Celli
6	and the New Mexico Department of Health, respectively, and handled under biosafety level-3
7	(BSL-3) precautions per Centers for Disease Control and Prevention protocol. Unless otherwise
8	noted, strains were grown in modified Mueller Hinton media (MMH), (Difco, Corpus Christi,
9	TX) supplemented with 0.025% ferric pyrophosphate, 0.02% IsoVitaleX (Becton Dickinson,
10	Franklin Lakes, NJ) as a cysteine source, and $0.1\%$ glucose as a carbon source. For some
11	experiments, F. tularensis strains were grown in Chamberlain's Defined Medium (CDM), (47)
12	with or without glucose. For enumeration studies, bacteria were grown on MMH agar plates.
13	<b>Imaging</b> <i>F</i> . <i>novicida</i> colonization on chitin films and sterile crab shell pieces. Wild-type <i>F</i> .
13 14	<b>Imaging</b> <i>F. novicida</i> <b>colonization on chitin films and sterile crab shell pieces.</b> Wild-type <i>F. novicida</i> was allowed to attach to either synthetic chitin films (82) or sterile crab shell pieces for
13 14 15	<ul> <li>Imaging <i>F. novicida</i> colonization on chitin films and sterile crab shell pieces. Wild-type <i>F. novicida</i> was allowed to attach to either synthetic chitin films (82) or sterile crab shell pieces for 1 h. After 1 h, surfaces were washed 3X with phosphate buffered saline to remove non-adhered</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Imaging <i>F. novicida</i> colonization on chitin films and sterile crab shell pieces. Wild-type <i>F. novicida</i> was allowed to attach to either synthetic chitin films (82) or sterile crab shell pieces for</li> <li>1 h. After 1 h, surfaces were washed 3X with phosphate buffered saline to remove non-adhered</li> <li>bacteria and samples were incubated at 30°C in CDM without glucose. After one hour and one</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17	Imaging <i>F. novicida</i> colonization on chitin films and sterile crab shell pieces. Wild-type <i>F. novicida</i> was allowed to attach to either synthetic chitin films (82) or sterile crab shell pieces for 1 h. After 1 h, surfaces were washed 3X with phosphate buffered saline to remove non-adhered bacteria and samples were incubated at 30°C in CDM without glucose. After one hour and one week of incubation, respectively, crab shell and chitin film samples were processed for scanning
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	Imaging <i>F. novicida</i> colonization on chitin films and sterile crab shell pieces. Wild-type <i>F. novicida</i> was allowed to attach to either synthetic chitin films (82) or sterile crab shell pieces for 1 h. After 1 h, surfaces were washed 3X with phosphate buffered saline to remove non-adhered bacteria and samples were incubated at 30°C in CDM without glucose. After one hour and one week of incubation, respectively, crab shell and chitin film samples were processed for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) investigation. Substrates with attached cells were fixed for 3 days at
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	Imaging <i>F. novicida</i> colonization on chitin films and sterile crab shell pieces. Wild-type <i>F. novicida</i> was allowed to attach to either synthetic chitin films (82) or sterile crab shell pieces for 1 h. After 1 h, surfaces were washed 3X with phosphate buffered saline to remove non-adhered bacteria and samples were incubated at 30°C in CDM without glucose. After one hour and one week of incubation, respectively, crab shell and chitin film samples were processed for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) investigation. Substrates with attached cells were fixed for 3 days at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde with 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M NaCacodylate Buffer (pH 7.3)
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> </ol>	Imaging <i>F. novicida</i> colonization on chitin films and sterile crab shell pieces. Wild-type <i>F. novicida</i> was allowed to attach to either synthetic chitin films (82) or sterile crab shell pieces for 1 h. After 1 h, surfaces were washed 3X with phosphate buffered saline to remove non-adhered bacteria and samples were incubated at 30°C in CDM without glucose. After one hour and one week of incubation, respectively, crab shell and chitin film samples were processed for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) investigation. Substrates with attached cells were fixed for 3 days at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde with 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M NaCacodylate Buffer (pH 7.3) (EM grade, EMS, Hatfield, PA). After primary fixation, samples were rinsed in the same buffer,
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	Imaging <i>F. novicida</i> colonization on chitin films and sterile crab shell pieces. Wild-type <i>F.</i> <i>novicida</i> was allowed to attach to either synthetic chitin films (82) or sterile crab shell pieces for 1 h. After 1 h, surfaces were washed 3X with phosphate buffered saline to remove non-adhered bacteria and samples were incubated at 30°C in CDM without glucose. After one hour and one week of incubation, respectively, crab shell and chitin film samples were processed for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) investigation. Substrates with attached cells were fixed for 3 days at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde with 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M NaCacodylate Buffer (pH 7.3) (EM grade, EMS, Hatfield, PA). After primary fixation, samples were rinsed in the same buffer, post-fixed in 1% aqueous OsO4 for 1 h, and dehydrated in an ascending ethanol series (30, 50,
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	Imaging <i>F. novicida</i> colonization on chitin films and sterile crab shell pieces. Wild-type <i>F. novicida</i> was allowed to attach to either synthetic chitin films (82) or sterile crab shell pieces for 1 h. After 1 h, surfaces were washed 3X with phosphate buffered saline to remove non-adhered bacteria and samples were incubated at 30°C in CDM without glucose. After one hour and one week of incubation, respectively, crab shell and chitin film samples were processed for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) investigation. Substrates with attached cells were fixed for 3 days at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde with 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M NaCacodylate Buffer (pH 7.3) (EM grade, EMS, Hatfield, PA). After primary fixation, samples were rinsed in the same buffer, post-fixed in 1% aqueous OsO4 for 1 h, and dehydrated in an ascending ethanol series (30, 50, 70, 80, 90, 100%; for 20min each), followed by critical point drying with liquid CO <sub>2</sub> using a

1 adhesive carbon film on 15mm aluminum stubs, and sputter-coated with 100Å gold/palladium 2 using a Denton Desk 11 TSC Sputter Coater. Visualization was carried out with a Hitachi S-3 3400N VP SEM (Hitachi Ltd, Pleasanton, CA) operated at 10-15kV, working distance 8-10mm, and secondary electron detector. Images were capture in TIF format. 4 Growth in CDM broth. F. novicida was grown overnight in CDM at 37° with aeration. The 5 6 culture was then diluted to Optical density 600nm (OD<sub>600</sub>) using an Ultropec 2100 Pro 7 spectrophotomer (Amersham Biosciences, Pittsburgh, PA) 0.05 in either CDM with no sugar, 8 CDM with 10mM glucose or 10mM GlcNAc. Optical density and colony forming units (CFU) 9 were monitored over time for each media condition. The doubling time for each culture was 10 calculated. 11 **Imaging of flow cell grown biofilms.** Flow cells were assembled as previously described (12, 12 76). The flow system apparatus was sterilized and pre-conditioned with MMH plus 5µg/mL 13 tetracycline (Tet<sup>5</sup>) overnight at ambient temperature (20-22°C). F. novicida harboring the 14 pKK219-GFP plasmid (26, 41) was grown overnight at 26° C in MMH Tet<sup>5</sup> with aeration. 15 Overnight-grown bacteria were diluted 1:50 in fresh media and grown to optical density 600  $(OD_{600})$  1.0. The culture was then diluted to  $OD_{600}$  0.1. Flow was stopped on the flow system 16 17 and 1ml of culture was inoculated into each channel of the flow cell. Flow cells were inverted 18 for 1 h to allow the bacteria to adhere. Flow cells were then uprighted and flow was initiated at 19 0.1 ml/minute. Biofilm progression at ambient temperature was imaged by confocal microscopy 20 (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) every 24h over the course of 5 days. Z-sections were taken with 0.1 21  $\mu$ m steps and 3-D renderings of the z-stacks were generated using Volocity imaging software 22 (Improvision, Lexington, MA).

1	Crystal violet assaying for biofilm formation. Crystal violet assaying for biofilm formation
2	was performed as previously described (59). Briefly, Francisella strains were grown overnight
3	at the appropriate temperature. Cultures were diluted into fresh media to $OD_{600} 0.05$ and $200 \mu l$
4	aliquoted per well in a 96-well polystyrene plate in at least triplicate. The bacteria were allowed
5	to grow statically and sampled at various time points. The $OD_{570}$ was read in a 96-well
6	microplate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT). At each time point non-adhered bacteria were
7	removed from the well and $30\mu$ l of $0.1\%$ crystal violet was added to each well for 15 minutes.
8	Wells were washed three times with distilled water and the remaining biomass-absorbed crystal
9	violet was solubilized with 95% ethanol. Staining was then quantified at $OD_{570}$ in a 96-well
10	microplate reader (labeled $CV_{570}$ ). All OD readings for the assay comparing relative crystal
11	violet staining between lab strains of Francisella and Type A Francisella were obtained at
12	$600nm (CV_{600})$ using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).
13	Transposon library screen for biofilm-deficient mutants. A sequenced two-allele transposon
14	mutant library was used to test for F. novicida transposon mutants that were deficient in biofilm
15	formation (the following reagent was obtained through the NIH Biodefense and Emerging
16	Infections Research Resources Repository, NIAID, NIH: F. tularensis subsp. novicida, "Two-
17	Allele" Transposon Mutant Library Plates 1-14, 16-32). The library represents two or more
18	transposon insertions in all non-essential genes. At the time of screening, Plate 15 of the library
19	was unavailable due to quality control issues, resulting in a library size of 2,954 mutants. The
20	two-allele library was received frozen in 96-well format. MMH media was inoculated in 96-well
21	plates with the library and mutants grown overnight to stationary phase at 37°C shaking at 200
22	rpm. Overnight cultures were diluted 1:50 in 200µl of fresh MMH in 96-well plates. Plates
23	were grown statically for 10h in a 37°C incubator and the ability of each transposon-mutant to

1	form a biofilm was assessed as described above. Mutants exhibiting lower potential for biofilm
2	formation were classified by crystal violet staining more than two standard deviations lower than
3	the plate average. Wild-type F. novicida was included on each plate as a positive control and a
4	well of MMH only was used as a blank. To account for small differences in culture growth,
5	crystal violet staining was normalized to each mutant culture at $OD_{570}$ . Wells where significant
6	growth defects were observed were excluded. Biofilm-deficient transposon-mutants were
7	retested in triplicate.
8	Secondary screening for attachment. Overnight cultures of biofilm mutants identified in our
9	screen were grown in triplicate with shaking (200 rpm) at 37°C. Stationary phase cultures
10	$(200\mu l)$ were transferred to new 96-well plates and allowed to adhere statically for 1 h at 37°C.
11	Crystal violet staining was assayed as before. Attachment-deficiency was defined as crystal
12	violet staining two standard deviations below that of wild-type.
13	Bacterial Mutagenesis. Targeted deletions were generated in the U112 strain as previously
14	described (9) using the primers in Table A1. Briefly, the regions of the chromosome 5' and 3' to
15	the gene of interest were amplified by PCR. Using splicing by overlap extension (SOE) PCR
16	(44), a kanamycin resistance cassette expressed by the groEL promoter was introduced between
17	these regions of homology. Briefly, ~500bp sequences flanking the targeted gene were
18	amplified and spliced to either end of the gro promoter-resistance cassette construct. The
19	resulting PCR product was transformed into F. novicida strain U112 by chemical transformation
20	and transformants were selected on MMH agar with $30\mu$ g/ml kanamycin. Gene deletions were
21	confirmed by sequencing. $\triangle secB1$ , $\triangle FTN_1750$ , and <i>chiA</i> targeted deletion strains were
22	subsequently complemented in cis by re-introducing the wild-type gene into the chromosome at
23	the original locus, along with the CAT cassette chloramphenicol resistance marker, again by

1	SOE and homologous recombination of a spliced PCR construct. $\triangle secB2$ , $\triangle ostA2$ , $\triangle FTN_0308$ ,
2	and chiB deletion mutants were complemented in trans by introducing the wild-type gene, as
3	well as the CAT cassette, into gro-gfp pFNLTP6 (46). ~500bp regions flanking the gfp gene of
4	pFNTLTP were amplified and spliced to the wild-type copy of the gene for complementation
5	with the CAT resistance cassette on the 3' end. SOE PCR complementation constructs were
6	introduced by homologous recombination with the pFNLTP6 at the NdeI and BamHI sites,
7	removing the gfp gene. The resulting plasmid expressed the complementing gene under the
8	regulation of the constitutive groEL promoter. Complemented strains were selected for growth
9	on 3µg/ml chloramphenicol and also confirmed by sequencing. Complementation plasmids were
10	then chemically transformed into deletion strains. All complementation primers are listed in
11	Table A1. The $\Delta chiA\Delta chiB$ double mutant was constructed using the same method as the single
12	deletion strains, except the chiB gene was replaced with the CAT cassette instead of the
13	kanamycin resistance cassette.
14	<b>RAW264.7 macrophage infections.</b> RAW264.7 macrophages were seeded at 2.5x10 <sup>5</sup> cells per
15	well in 24-well tissue culture plates (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) and incubated
16	overnight at 37°C incubation with 5% CO <sub>2</sub> . Wild-type and mutant U112 strains were grown
17	overnight to stationary phase at 37°C with aeration and diluted to 5x10 <sup>6</sup> colony forming units
18	(CFU) per ml in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) with 10% fetal
19	bovine serum. For each strain, 1 ml inocula were added to triplicate wells and centrifuged at 730
20	x g for 15 min to mediate attachment. Infected plates were incubated at 37°C with 5% $CO_2$ (time
21	zero) for 0.5h and washed three times with warm media. Three wells per strain were harvested
22	at this time using $0.1\%$ saponin to lyse the cells. CFU were enumerated by serial dilution and

1	percent recovered was calculated by normalizing to the inocula. Fresh warm media was added to
2	the remaining wells and wells were harvested in triplicate, as above, at 8h and 24h post-infection.
3	Mouse infections. Competitive index (CI) mouse infections were performed as previously
4	described (80) in 6-8 week old female C57BL/6J mice (Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME).
5	Mice were infected intradermally or intraperitoneally with equal amounts (5x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU) of wild
6	type and mutant F. novicida in 0.05 ml. Mice were monitored for morbidity and mortality
7	during the course of infection. Mice were sacrificed 2 d post-infection and the spleens were
8	removed and homogenized for CFU enumeration. Competitive indices were calculated as the
9	ratio of mutant to wild type of the output, normalized for the input, and significance was
10	calculated by comparing the CI to 1 (CI of gene with no role in virulence) using one sample t-
11	tests. All animal infection experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use
12	Committee and the Institutional Biosafety Committee of Stanford University. Deletion mutants
13	for the entire Francisella Pathogenecity Island (FPI) and negative control, hspX chaperone gene
14	were described previously (80).
15	Crab shell attachment. Overnight cultures were grown at 30°C in MMH medium.
16	Approximately 1cm <sup>2</sup> pieces of sterile crab shell were inoculated with 2ml of stationary phase
17	cultures in 12-well plates. After 1 h at 30°C, the shells were washed to remove unattached
18	bacteria. Attached bacteria were recovered by vortexing and enumerated for colony forming
19	units (CFUs). All strains were tested in triplicate. Unpaired t-tests were used to determine
20	statistical differences between wild type and mutant counts.
21	Statistical analysis.

- 22 Statistical analysis was performed using Prism4 software (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA). Unless
- 23 otherwise stated, unpaired Student's t tests were applied, and two-tailed P-values are shown. For

- 1 mouse CI data, one-sample *t*-test was used to compare mutant:wild-type bacteria ratio to an
- 2 expected value of 1.

3

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

2 *F. novicida* forms a biofilm on chitin surfaces. We hypothesized that chitin may be an 3 environmentally relevant surface for the persistence of F. tularensis in nature based on the 4 presence of two well-conserved chitinase genes in the sequenced F. tularensis genomes (Table 5 1). Maintenance of the *chiA* and *chiB* genes in *F*. *tularensis* subspecies and the related but 6 divergent fish pathogen, Francisella philomiragia, suggested that chitinases provide a selective 7 advantage for Francisella species in nature. F. tularensis subsp. novicida (F. novicida) is a close 8 relative of the highly virulent Type A F. tularensis subsp. tularensis and encodes for both 9 chitinase enzymes. Because F. novicida is genetically tractable, we use this subspecies here as a 10 model to study the molecular aspects of *F*. *tularensis* ecology. 11 To test the ability of F. tularensis species to adhere to a chitin-containing surface, we 12 incubated F. novicida with crab shell pieces. Crab shells are rich in chitin, a constituent of 13 various surfaces Francisella species may encounter and subsequently colonize in their natural 14 habitats. These surfaces include copepod and zooplankton shells in fresh water environments 15 and the exoskeletons of arthropod vectors. After 1 h at 30°C, individual and small groups of 16 adhered bacteria were present on the shell surface as visualized by scanning electron microscopy 17 (SEM) (Fig. 1A,B). After one week on the crab shells in the presence of minimal Chamberlain's 18 defined medium (CDM), without exogenous sugar, three-dimensional bacterial communities 19 were present on the chitin-based surface (Fig. 1C). At higher magnification (Fig. 1D), we saw 20 microcolonies to consist of individual bacteria surrounded by a matrix of extracellular polymeric 21 substance (EPS). The observed community structure suggests F. novicida can attach and proliferate as biofilms on the environmentally relevant surface, chitin. 22

1

1	Although crab shells consist mainly of chitin, they contain additional components, such
2	as other carbohydrates and protein (56). To test if chitin is sufficient to support $F$ . novicida
3	colonization and proliferation, we visualized bacterial attachment and biofilm formation on
4	synthetic chitin films (82). F. novicida attached to lower levels on smooth chitin films compared
5	to the topographically varied crab shells after one hour (Fig. 1A,E). At one week after shift to
6	minimal medium, the surface of the chitin films contained F. novicida microcolonies and EPS
7	extensions (Fig. 1G,H), indicating the initiation biofilm formation. However, the architecture of
8	the bacterial communities on chitin films was not as developed as the communities on the crab
9	shell pieces (Fig. 1A-D), which may be explained by the lower starting population on this
10	surface (Fig. 1C,G). More likely, additional components in the crab shell, like protein, may
11	allow for more rapid expansion of the adhered population. We conclude that chitin is necessary,
12	but not necessarily sufficient for wild-type levels of F. novicida biofilm maturation in the
13	absence of exogenous sugar.
14	F. novicida can utilize GlcNAc as a carbon source for growth. F. novicida persistence and
15	proliferation on chitin surfaces in the absence of exogenous sugar suggested that this pathogen
16	was able to utilize the chitin component of the surface as a nutrient source. To test this, we grew
17	F. novicida in CDM either without added sugar, supplemented with 10mM glucose (a known
18	metabolic substrate for Francisella species), or with 10mM GlcNAc (the monosaccharide end
19	product of chitin hydrolysis) in aerated batch culture. F. novicida growth was negligible in
20	CDM in the absence of an added sugar (doubling time 11.25h). In contrast, F. novicida grew in
21	CDM supplemented with 10mM glucose with a doubling time of 63 min. Similarly, F. novicida
22	grew in CDM supplemented with 10mM GlcNAc (doubling time 76 min.). The high
23	proliferation of F. novicida on chitin surfaces (Fig. 1) may therefore be explained by the $\sim 11$ -

fold increase in growth rate between *F. novicida* grown in CDM with GlcNAc compared to
 CDM without sugar. We conclude that *F. novicida* can metabolize GlcNAc, suggesting that
 hydrolysis of chitin by chitinases to generate GlcNAc (36) may provide a local nutrient source
 for persistence and growth.

5 Chitinase genes facilitate F. novicida growth on chitin surfaces. To further address the 6 importance of chitin as a non-host niche for Francisella in nature, we constructed F. novicida 7 mutants lacking either of the chitinase genes,  $\Delta chiA$  and  $\Delta chiB$ , and a mutant lacking both 8 chitinases. Hager et al. demonstrated that the F. novicida homologs of these enzymes that contain chitin-binding domains are secreted and bind to chitin beads (29). We expected these 9 10 deletion mutant strains to be attenuated for persistence and biofilm formation on chitin surfaces 11 if F. tularensis species have evolved to form biofilms on chitin surfaces to scavenge carbon. 12 Indeed, the AchiA and AchiB deletion mutants were attenuated for colonization of crab shells 13 when incubated in CDM without sugar. Although the chitinase mutant bacteria attached to chitin to the same extent as wild-type F. novicida at 1 h (data not shown), we recovered 16- and 15-fold 14 15 fewer  $\Delta chiA$  and  $\Delta chiB$  mutant bacteria compared to wild-type F. novicida (P<0.001), 16 respectively, after 2 days colonization on crab shells (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, we recovered the 17 same number of  $\Delta chiA\Delta chiB$  double chitinase mutant bacteria compared to the  $\Delta chiA$  or  $\Delta chiB$ 18 single chitinase mutant strains (Fig. 2A), suggesting that the two chitinase genes act in the same 19 metabolic pathway, as predicted by KEGG pathway analysis (34). The abilities of the AchiA and 20 AchiB mutant bacterial strains to grow on chitin was restored by the reintroduction of wild-type 21 copies of each chitinase gene into the coinciding mutant strain as measured by increased crab 22 shell colonization to near wild-type F. novicida levels (Fig. 2A). The ability of the chitinase 23 mutant strains to persist at low levels could be due to the utilization of the amino acids present in

2 could liberate enough free GlcNAc to enable the bacteria to persist, but not replicate. 3 Regardless, the highly significant difference between wild-type and chitinase mutant bacteria 4 suggests that chitinase activity strongly contributes to F. novicida persistence on chitin in 5 otherwise carbon-limiting conditions. 6 We postulated that the inability of chitinase mutant bacteria to convert chitin to the 7 useable metabolite, GlcNAc, explains their attenuated colonization on chitin. Indeed, the 8 inability of the chitinase mutants to colonize crab shells was alleviated by the addition of 10mM 9 GlcNAc to the exogenous medium (Fig. 2B), indicating that the chitinase mutant bacteria 10 possess the determinants required to colonize a chitin surface, but lack the ability to generate a 11 useable carbon source in order to proliferate. The 13-fold decrease in recovered wild-type F. 12 novicida when GlcNAc was added (Fig. 2) is consistent with microarray data published for V. 13 cholerae demonstrating that when this pathogen was grown in the presence of excess GlcNAc, 14 the pili and chitinases required to colonize this surface were repressed (49). 15 We next compared the architecture of the communities formed by the chitinase mutants 16 on crab shells or chitin films in the absence of exogenous sugar for 1 week by scanning electron 17 microscopy. In contrast to wild-type F. novicida, the chitinase mutants were present as single 18 bacteria or small, mostly monolayer, clusters of bacteria (Fig. 3). We conclude that F. novicida 19 biofilm formation on chitin in the absence of exogenous sugar requires functional chitinase 20 enzymes. Unlike motile V. cholerae that can chemotax towards nutrients, F. tularensis species 21 are non-flagellated and non-motile under laboratory conditions (14). Therefore, the ability of 22 Francisella species to adhere and colonize chitin may represent a single mechanism for survival 23 in nutrient poor non-host environments. Growth on chitin may trigger a specific biofilm

the CDM medium. Alternatively, natural degradation of the crab shell during the experiment

1

2 microenvironment for use by *F*. tularensis.

3 Beyond scavenging carbon in the environment, the secreted chitinases that are vital for 4 biofilm formation on chitin could be important for the establishment of the arthropod infection, 5 similar to the malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* (79). The *P. falciparum* chitinase allows 6 the parasite to penetrate the chitin-containing peritrophic matrix surrounding the blood meal in 7 the mosquito midgut and establish the infection. Efforts to target this chitinase to block 8 transmission of malaria are ongoing (69, 73). We are currently working to discern the role(s) of 9 F. tularensis chitinases in arthropod vectors. 10 Characterization of biofilm development by Francisella species. F. tularensis chitin 11 utilization provides insight into potential persistence mechanisms of this highly virulent 12 pathogen. The missing piece to our model was the proteins that promote attachment to chitin 13 surfaces. We established in vitro systems for studying F. tularensis biofilm formation to aid in 14 identifying attachment determinants. In vitro biofilms on abiotic surfaces provided a model 15 system to characterize and genetically dissect F. novicida biofilm formation and test the ability 16 of other pathogenic F. tularensis strains to similarly attach and proliferate on a surface. 17 We incubated GFP-labeled F. novicida in the flow cell system (12) to confirm in vitro 18 formation of these bacterial communities under flow conditions. Bacterial attachment and 19 surface growth at ambient temperature (20-22°C) and a flow rate of 0.1ml/min was analysed by 20 confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) at various timepoints (24h, 48h, 72h, 96h and 120h) 21 (Fig. 4). We observed the formation of a matt-like biofilm with an average depth of 22 approximately  $15\mu$ m. This architecture of flow cell grown F. novicida biofilms was similar to 23 that reported for other Gram-negative species, including the related  $\gamma$ -proteobacterium

2 indicate that F. novicida is able to form biofilms on an abiotic surface, such as glass, with similar 3 architecture to that observed on chitin (Fig. 1). These results are consistent with the report by Hassett et al. indicating that LVS can form biofilms on glass coverslips in the absence of flowing 4 5 media (30).

A modified O'Toole and Kolter microtiter assay (59) was utilized to establish a high 6 7 throughput model for F. tularensis biofilm formation. This assay measures adhered biomass 8 under static conditions by crystal violet stain. F. novicida and F. tularensis subsp. holarctica 9 live-vaccine (LVS) strains were grown at 26°C and 37°C in 96-well microtiter plates. Recent 10 work by Horzempa et al. found that the LVS strain demonstrated different expression profiles at 11 these two temperatures (31). The OD<sub>570</sub> (Fig. 5A,B) and crystal violet staining (CV<sub>570</sub>), (Fig. 12 5C,D) were measured over 152 h. Both F. novicida and LVS strains showed increased crystal 13 violet staining over time when grown at 26°C and 37°C, indicating increased accumulation of 14 adhered biomass. This result is consistent with our finding that F. novicida forms biofilms when 15 adherent to an abiotic surface (Fig 4). At both temperatures assayed, we observed a decrease in 16 crystal violet staining (Fig. 5C,D) concurrent with F. novicida and LVS entering stationary phase 17 (Fig. 5A,B). This result suggested that the biofilms were undergoing dispersion (75), a process 18 of biofilm dissolution and re-seeding occurring during decreased oxygen tension and nutrient 19 deprivation. Similar dispersal did not occur in the flow cell system grown F. novicida biofilms 20 (Fig. 4), presumably because the population was constantly provided an undepleted carbon and 21 oxygen source under flow conditions.

Downloaded from aem.asm.org at SERIALS CONTROL Lane Medical Library on June 10, 2010

22 Type A Francisella strains form biofilms in the microtiter plate assay. A high percentage of

23 tularemia morbidity and mortality is caused by infection with F. tularensis subsp. tularensis

1	(Type A) strains (22). These strains have a very low infectious dose, and as few as ten
2	organisms can cause a lethal infection in humans (19). Molecular subtyping of Type A strains
3	has identified two distinct subtypes (A1 and A2) with specific geographic distributions (40).
4	Type A1 strains are primarily found in the Eastern United States, while Type A2 strains are
5	almost exclusively isolated in the West. The O'Toole and Kolter assay demonstrated that these
6	highly virulent strains were able to form biofilms to similar levels as F. novicida and LVS strains
7	(Fig. 6). SchuS4 (Type A1) and FT-10 (Type A2) F. tularensis subsp. tularensis strains reached
8	similar optical densities as LVS (Type B) when grown under static conditions (Fig. 6), while
9	SchuS4 and FT-10 exhibited higher crystal violet staining at 24 h (P<0.05), implying increased
10	biofilm formation of Type A strains (Fig. 6). F. novicida CV <sub>600</sub> staining was approximately two-
11	fold higher than the other strains tested ( $P < 0.001$ ). However, the optical density of the $F$ .
12	novicida culture was 2.5-fold higher than the other strains at 24h. Similar crystal violet staining
13	by Type A1 and Type A2 strains compared to the Type B LVS strain suggests that biofilm
14	formation may be pertinent to the survival of pathogenic <i>F. tularensis</i> strains in the environment.
15	Screen for biofilm-deficient mutants identifies novel genes important for $F$ . novicida
16	biofilm formation. We screened a two-allele transposon library (BEI Resources, Manassas,
17	VA) that represented two or more transposon-insertion mutants per non-essential gene in the $F$ .
18	<i>novicida</i> genome to elucidate the genetic determinants of $F$ . <i>novicida</i> interaction with abiotic and
19	biotic surfaces. To facilitate high-throughput screening, individual insertion mutants were
20	assayed for biofilm formation in the microtiter assay established above rather than on chitin. We
21	defined biofilm-deficient mutants as strains where crystal violet staining was two standard
22	deviations below the mean of the plate. We eliminated mutant strains that exhibited a significant
23	growth defect from further characterization. In total, we identified 98 F. novicida transposon-

1	insertion mutants, representing 88 genes that were attenuated for biofilm formation (Table A2).
2	To elucidate pathways important for $F$ . <i>novicida</i> surface attachment and growth, we assigned
3	gene ontology classifications to the genes identified in the biofilm screen
4	(www.biohealthbase.org) (Fig. A1). Roles for the 64 annotated genes included protein secretion,
5	various metabolic pathways, signal transduction, protein transport, and cell envelope biogenesis.
6	Although many Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria can form biofilms, the bacterial
7	mechanisms utilized to facilitate these communities vary (4, 45, 55, 59, 78). For example, the
8	role of Type-IV pili and flagella in biofilm formation is well documented (58, 65). However,
9	little is known about attachment and surface growth during biofilm maturation of non-motile
10	bacteria. By characterizing the roles of the genes we identified in this study, including the
11	approximately 25% with no annotated function, we aim to elucidate alternate methods of
12	environmental persistence by non-motile bacteria.
13	Sec-dependent secretion functions in initial attachment during $F$ . novicida biofilm
14	formation on abiotic and biotic surfaces. We were particularly interested in the four
15	transposon-insertion mutants we identified in the Sec translocon complex involved in protein
16	export from the cytoplasm (25). The core components of the Sec translocon in Escherichia coli
17	are the SecYEG protein channel and the SecA ATPase motor protein (10). Due to the
18	pleiotropic roles of general protein secretion in bacteria, components in this apparatus are
19	considered essential in other Gram-negative organisms $(24, 43)$ . The Sec translocon in $F$ .
20	novicida is comprised of 13 proteins, but only the four genes we identified in our screen were
21	
	represented in the two-allele library; the <i>secA</i> motor ATPase and <i>secG</i> pore genes, as well as the
22	represented in the two-allele library; the <i>secA</i> motor ATPase and <i>secG</i> pore genes, as well as the <i>secB1</i> and <i>secB2</i> genes that encode for chaperones which specifically target pre-proteins to SecA

1

2 detection algorithm, SignalP (7) (Table 2). We hypothesized from the results of our genetic biofilm screen and a secondary attachment assay that proteins secreted by the Sec translocon may represent novel mediators of F. novicida adhesion, a process that has not been characterized. We confirmed that transposon mutants in the secretion apparatus were deficient for biofilm formation (Fig. 7A) and attachment (Fig. 7B). Deletion mutants in secB1 and secB2 were constructed while deletions in secA and secG could not be generated; suggesting that these genes are essential and the transposoninsertion mutations present in the library represent an incomplete loss of gene function. Additionally, attempts to construct a double deletion of *secB1* and *secB2* did not yield viable colonies. Growth curves performed with the *AsecB1* and *AsecB2* mutants showed no growth defect in batch culture compared to wild-type F. novicida and microscopic analysis of cell morphology revealed no alternations in bacterial shape (data not shown). Both the  $\Delta secB1$  and  $\Delta secB2$  mutants were deficient in biofilm formation (Fig 7C) and attachment (Fig 7D) when grown in MMH. The  $\Delta secB1$  and  $\Delta secB2$  mutant phenotypes were restored to wild-type attachment and biofilm formation levels when wild-type copies of secB1 and secB2 were added back to the deletion mutants (Fig. 7C,D). These experiments were also performed in CDM to confirm that the role of Sec-dependent secreted factors in biofilm formation was not limited to growth in a nutrient-rich environment (Fig. 7E,F). Our data indicate that Sec-dependent secretion is important for F. novicida attachment to abiotic surfaces and biofilm formation. We 21 therefore postulated that Sec-secreted proteins represent novel mediators of F. novicida 22 adherence.

that are predicted to encode for proteins with secretion signals based on the signal sequence

Downloaded from aem.asm.org at SERIALS CONTROL Lane Medical Library on June 10, 2010

The 18 Sec-dependent transposon-insertion mutants (Table 2) were all defective for biofilm formation (Fig. 7A) and initial attachment (Fig. 7B) based on crystal violet staining, confirming our screen results. Surprisingly Type-IV pili genes, known mediators of biofilm formation in Gram negative bacteria, were not among the Sec-secreted factors identified and were found to be dispensible for *F. novicida* biofilm formation upon further study (data not shown).

7 We focused on four of the secreted factors with homologs in all F. tularensis subspecies 8 and were highly attenuated for biofilm formation when deleted; FTN 0308, FTN 0713, 9 FTN 0714 and FTN 1750. FTN 0713 (ostA2), FTN 0714 and FTN 1750 were all identified at 10 least twice in the biofilm screen. We selected FTN\_0308 due to the strong biofilm phenotype of the one transposon-insertion mutant that was identified in the genetic screen (Fig. 7A,B). We 11 12 constructed deletion mutants in each of these genes and tested for attachment and biofilm 13 formation. All four mutants were defective in initial attachment and biofilm formation in both 14 rich and defined media (Fig 7C-F). The  $\Delta ostA2$ ,  $\Delta FTN_1750$  and  $\Delta FTN_0308$  mutants were 15 complemented for attachment and biofilm attenuation by re-introduction of the deleted genes in 16 cis into the chromosome or in trans by expressing the gene in pFNLTP6 using the constitutive 17 gro promoter. The  $\Delta FTN$  0714 mutant could not be complemented for technical reasons, likely 18 due to the length of the complementation PCR product (~8kb). Taken together, our data indicate 19 that initial attachment during *Francisella* biofilm formation is facilitated by proteins secreted by 20 the Sec-dependent secretion system. The protein encoded by FTN\_0713 (ostA2) has significant homology (E-value 6e<sup>-64</sup>) to 21

22 organic solvent tolerance proteins involved in lipopolysaccharide (LPS) modification (8).

23 Although ostA2 homologs have not been implicated in biofilm formation, LPS chemistry has

been shown to influence attachment during biofilm formation in other bacteria (4, 16, 23). The
unique structure of *Francisella* species LPS (28) could contribute to adhesion of *F. tularensis* to
non-mammalian surfaces. FTN\_1750 is a putative acyltransferase with strong homology (Evalue 4e<sup>-27</sup>) to acylhomoserine-lactone biosynthesis enzyme, HdtS, suggesting that this protein
may function in quorum sensing, a cell-cell communications process that regulates biofilm
formation under certain conditions (38).

7 While F. novicida biofilm genes were identified by screening for mutants defective for 8 adherence and biofilm formation on polystyrene, we identified two novel putative chitin-binding 9 proteins, FTN 0308 and FTN 0714. The protein encoded by FTN 0714 is annotated as a 10 hypothetical lipoprotein (BioHealthBase). The SMART domain prediction algorithm (42, 68) indicates that FTN\_0714 contains repeating polycystic kidney disease-family domains conserved 11 12 from archae through mammals that facilitate adhesion (33). This domain-family plays a role in 13 the binding and hydrolysis of chitin by the chiA chitinase of aquatic bacterial strain Alteromonas 14 0-7 (60). FTN\_0308 is annotated to encode for a hypothetical protein with unknown function 15 (www.biohealthbase.org). However, the Phyre protein-folding prediction algorithm (35) 16 indicates a structural homology to the Streptomyces chitinase C chitin-binding domain and the C-17 terminus contains homology to F17c-family bacterial adhesins. We are currently determining 18 the specific roles that these two gene products may have in attachment to both abiotic and chitin 19 surfaces. 20

Downloaded from aem.asm.org at SERIALS CONTROL Lane Medical Library on June 10, 2010

*F. tularensis* species genomes contain an annotated chitin-binding protein, *cbpA*, that was
not identified by our biofilm screen. This gene product may specifically mediate association
with chitin. Additionally, we did not identify *chiA* and *chiB* in our screen despite their conserved
Sec-dependent secretion signals and role in biofilm formation on chitin. We would not expect

1

2

## 3 Sec secretion mutants are not attenuated in murine models of infection. While no evidence 4 of F. tularensis biofilm formation inside of mammalian hosts exists, bacteria often utilize 5 proteins to attach to both environmental and host surfaces (65, 67, 70). Attachment of F. 6 tularensis in any context is poorly understood. We, therefore, tested if the biofilm attachment 7 factors we describe also mediate host tissue association using in vitro and in vivo infection 8 models. F. tularensis species are primarily found within macrophages in a mammalian host (27). 9 Therefore, RAW264.7 macrophage-like cells were infected at a multiplicity of infection of 20:1 10 with wild-type F. novicida or the Sec-dependent secretion mutants. At 0.5 h post-infection, non-11 cell associated F. novicida were washed away and the remaining bacteria were recovered and 12 enumerated. No statistical differences in CFU counts were observed (Fig. 8A), suggesting that 13 the mutants that are defective for attachment to polystyrene and chitin were still able to 14 efficiently associate with eukaryotic cells. Intracellular replication was monitored in the 15 presence of extracellular gentamicin for 8- and 24h (Fig. 8B). Wild-type F. novicida and all 16 mutants showed approximately 100-fold replication at 24h compared to the initial 0.5 h counts. 17 Thus, the mutants successfully entered and replicated within macrophages, demonstrating that 18 the Sec secretion biofilm mutants that we characterized are not deficient for attachment to, or 19 replication within macrophages. 20 To test the potential role of secB1, secB2, ostA2, FTN\_0308, FTN\_0714 and FTN\_1750

Downloaded from aem.asm.org at SERIALS CONTROL Lane Medical Library on June 10, 2010

chitinases to mediate biofilm formation on polystyrene, however. This result was confirmed

using clean deletion mutant stains (data not shown).

during a systemic mouse infection, we infected C57BL/6J mice with a 1:1 mixture of 5x10<sup>3</sup>
colony forming units of wild-type and deletion mutant bacteria. Competitive indices (CI's) for
each wild-type/mutant combination were obtained for both intradermal (ID) and intraperitoneal

1	(IP) routes of infection. Mutants that are not attenuated in mice should have a CI of one, <i>i.e.</i> ,
2	equal numbers of wild-type and mutant bacteria are recovered in the tissue at the time of harvest,
3	as is observed for the previously described $\Delta hspX$ strain (80). As a positive control, we included
4	a <i>F. novicida</i> $\Delta$ FPI mutant that lacks the entire <i>Francisella</i> pathogenecity island (80). As
5	expected, this mutant was severely attenuated in mice (Fig. 8C,D). However, none of the Sec
6	secretion biofilm mutants demonstrated a CI value statistically different from one via either route
7	of infection (Fig. 8C,D). Additionally, no defect was observed in the spread of Sec secretion
8	mutants to systemic tissues such as the liver and spleen after ID inoculation (data not shown).
9	Our data indicate that these genes that are crucial for association to non-mammalian surfaces do
10	not contribute to local or systemic colonization of mammalian hosts. FTN_0713 (ostA2), the
11	putative LPS-modification gene, was identified by Kraemer et al. in a negative selection screen
12	for F. novicida mutants attenuated for infection via intranasal inoculation of mice, indicating that
13	this mutant may be more sensitive to the innate immune response in the lung, (e.g., antimicrobial
14	peptides) due to an altered LPS (39, 80). Transposon-mutants for secA and secE were identified
15	by Su et al. to be involved in lung colonization (72). These attenuated phenotypes for the non-
16	redundant Sec translocon genes imply that Sec secreted proteins other than those characterized
17	here do influence host colonization. The lack of attenuation for the deletion mutants in $secB1$
18	and $secB2$ in the virulence assays tested here supports the idea that these two genes encode for
19	redundant function.
20	F. novicida biofilm determinants also play a role in attachment to chitin-based surfaces.
21	Given Francisella species induce biofilm formation on both abiotic and chitin surfaces, we
22	hypothesized that the attachment determinants we identified for association with polystyrene
23	may also facilitate attachment to chitin. After a 1 h incubation at $30^{\circ}$ C, an average of $3.33 \times 10^{7}$

1	CFU/ml wild-type F. novicida were attached to the crab shell pieces (Fig. 9). Sec secretion
2	mutants were 5.6- to 16.2-fold attenuated for attachment to this chitin-based surface compared to
3	wild-type bacteria ( $P$ <0.01), confirming that Sec-secreted proteins contribute to attachment to
4	chitin-based surfaces. The specificity of these adherence factors for non-mammalian surfaces
5	further supports our suggestion that $F$ . tularensis biofilm formation in nature has evolved to
6	promote this pathogen's survival outside of a host; potentially by facilitating chitin utilization.
7	From our collective data we propose a model in which these early determinants of
8	biofilm formation allow for association with chitin surfaces in nature. Through this interaction,
9	F. tularensis chitinases have access to this substrate and provide bacteria with GlcNAc, which is
10	utilized for growth in nutrient-limiting environments. Biofilm maturation on chitin would then
11	create a local microenvironment enriched for this carbon source, providing a non-host niche for
12	this zoonotic pathogen.
13	The ability of F. tularensis to form biofilms on chitin may also provide the bacterium
14	resistance to grazing by fresh water protozoa. For chitin-colonizer V. cholerae, biofilm
15	formation was shown to reduce grazing by flagellate organisms compared to planktonic bacteria
16	(48). The laus et al. found that F. tularensis subsp. holarctica had increased resistance to both
17	ciliate and flagellate protozoa compared to E. coli (74). Although the role of biofilm formation
18	on predation was not addressed, this observation suggests that F. tularensis may actively prevent
19	protozoal grazing in nature. Coupled with the ability to survive in nutrient-limited aquatic
20	environments, biofilm-mediated resistance to predation could contribute to F. tularensis
21	persistence in the environment and allow for prolonged transmission of this pathogen.
22	We provide here the first extensive characterization of $F$ . tularensis biofilm formation
23	and explore how these communities may promote environmental persistence and transmission on

Downloaded from aem.asm.org at SERIALS CONTROL Lane Medical Library on June 10, 2010

1	chitin surfaces. The F. novicida biofilm genes we describe contribute to the ability of this
2	pathogen to colonize a surface it may encounter in nature. Very little is known about how and
3	where Francisella species persist in nature when not replicating within a host. Our findings may
4	help explain how tularemia outbreaks that have been attributed to fresh water crustaceans (2, 17)
5	occur. Additionally, chitin utilization may support F. tularensis persistence on other arthropods
6	such as zooplankton, copepods, and biting arthropod vectors. A study of $F$ . tularensis survival in
7	artificial water found that the presence of chitinous fresh water shrimps, mullosks, diatoms, or
8	zooplankton promoted sustained viability of this pathogen for an additional week to one month
9	in nutrient-poor water (52). Survival on environmental chitin may therefore serve as a reservoir
10	for disease transmission during seasonal tularemia outbreaks. Palo et al. identified a strong
11	epidemiological correlation between areas with low water turnover and human cases of tularemia
12	(64). These researchers postulated low water turnover as an environmental cue for a burst of $F$ .
13	tularensis replication. As with cholera outbreaks (21), conditions that promote interaction of $F$ .
14	tularensis with chitin surfaces on which the bacteria can replicate may seed infection. Further
15	study of F. tularensis biofilm formation and the role of these communities in chitin colonization
16	could clarify the open question of the location of the F. tularensis environmental reservoir.
17	

1

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

2	We thank Melanie Blokesch for her generous gifts of reagents and technical assistance, Gary K.
3	Schoolnik for his thoughtful discussions and for providing the chitin films. Jonathan W. Jones
4	and Thomas Henry for help with mouse experiments and Carmen D. Cordova for assistance with
5	the biofilm CLSM imaging. Jean Celli graciously provided the SchuS4 strain. J.J.M was
6	supported by National Science Foundation and Department of Homeland Security graduate
7	fellowships, as well as a National Institutes of Health Cell and Molecular Biology training grant.
8	

FIGURE LEGENDS

1

2	Figure 1. F. novicida biofilm formation on chitin surfaces. Images display SEM visualization
3	of F. novicida colonization of crab shell pieces (A-D) and synthetic chitin films (E-H).
4	Individual attached bacteria and small attached microcolonies were observed on the crab shell
5	pieces at one hour (A,B). After one week, typical 3D biofilm architecture was observed,
6	consisting of bacteria surrounded by an EPS matrix (C,D). Similar results were obtained after
7	one hour (E,F) and one week (G,H) on synthetic chitin. Scale bar is 20µm for lower
8	magnification images (left column) and 5µm for higher magnification images (right column).
9	
10	Figure 2. Chitinase mutants are attenuated for chitin colonization in the absence of
11	exogenous sugar. Stationary phase wild-type and chitinase mutant bacteria were allowed to
12	adhere for 1 h to crab shell pieces. Equivalently adhered strains were allowed to colonize these
13	chitin surfaces in CDM with or without GlcNAc at 30°C. Triplicate samples were harvested 2 d
14	post-inoculation and enumerated for CFU. Chitinase mutant F. novicida (white) were recovered
15	at statistically lower levels than wild-type bacteria (black), ( $P$ <0.001) when incubated in CDM
16	(A), but in equivalent numbers in CDM with GlcNAc (B). Addition of wild-type chiA and chiB
17	genes to deletion mutant strains (grey) complemented the chitin colonization defects observed
18	during colonization in CDM without GlcNAc (A).
19	
20	Figure 3. Chitinase genes are required for biofilm architecture on chitin surfaces during
21	nutrient stress. Images show representative colonization by wild-type and chitinase mutant

Downloaded from aem.asm.org at SERIALS CONTROL Lane Medical Library on June 10, 2010

- 22 strains on crab shells (A-D) or synthetic chitin films (E-H). Bacteria were allowed to attach for 1
- 23 h and then incubated for one week at 30°C before being processed for SEM. In contrast to

extensive 3D biofilm development in wild-type *F. novicida*, the chitinase mutants were present
 as single bacteria or small clusters of bacteria on both natural and synthetic chitin. Scale bar is
 10µm.

4

5 Figure 4. *Francisella* forms a matt-like biofilm under flow conditions. GFP expressing *F*. 6 *novicida* grown at room temperature (20-22°C) were imaged daily in flow cells run at 0.1ml/min 7 using confocal laser scanning microscopy. Representative images from triplicate experiments 8 are shown. At 24h (A), small groups of bacteria are present. Over the next 48h (B,C), a uniform 9 monolayer of bacteria is observed on the surface. By 96h (D), depth in the biofilm is observed 10 and at 120h (E) the biofilm reached an average thickness of  $15\mu$ m. Scale bar is  $15.2\mu$ m.

11

- 12 Figure 5. Kinetics of *F. tularensis* biofilm formation under static conditions. A modified
- 13 O'Toole and Kolter assay was performed to compare the kinetics and relative levels of biofilm
- 14 formation for *F. novicida* (solid circles) and LVS (open circles). Bacterial growth (A,B) and
- 15 crystal violet staining (C,D) were determined over time at 26°C (A,C) and 37°C (B,D) by OD<sub>570</sub>

16 readings. Both F. tularensis strains were found to acquire crystal violet stain at both

17 temperatures. Growth and crystal violet staining were faster at 37°C for both strains.

18

#### 19 Figure 6. Biofilm formation by virulent *F. tularensis* subspecies *tularensis* strains. *F.*

20 novicida, LVS and Type A strains SchuS4 and FT-10 were assayed for growth and crystal violet

- 21 staining at 24h post-inoculation. Culture OD<sub>600</sub> (A) and crystal violet staining (B) were
- 22 determined after static growth at 37°C. F. novicida demonstrated increased growth kinetics and

crystal violet staining compared to the other strains (P>0.001). Virulent SchuS4 and FT-10 1 2 strains exhibited significantly higher crystal violet staining compared to LVS.

3

<u>AEM Accepts published online ahead of print</u>

4	Figure 7. Sec-secreted factors mediate initial attachment during biofilm formation. 5
5	transposon-insertions representing mutants in 4 genes in the Sec translocon (grey) and 18
6	transposon-insertions representing mutants in 14 genes in putative secreted factors (white)
7	identified in the forward genetic screen were tested in triplicate compared to wild-type $F$ .
8	novicida (black) for 8h biomass accumulation (A) and 1 h initial attachment (B). Multiple
9	transposon-mutants were tested for genes identified more than once in the screen. Adherence of
10	biomass at 8h was used a measurement for biofilm formation. Attachment was assessed by
11	crystal violet stain 1 h post-inoculation of stationary phase cultures. Targeted mutants in
12	selected representative genes (white) showed similar defects in biofilm formation (C, E) and
13	attachment (D, F) compared to wild-type F. novicida (black) when grown in MMH and CDM,
14	respectively, based on crystal violet staining. Complementation of deleted genes (grey) restored
15	mutants to wild-type levels in all cases. Bars represent the mean and the lines indicate standard
16	deviation calculated from triplicate samples of a representative experiment. Each experiment
17	was repeated in triplicate. No data (ND) was obtained for FTN_0714 complementation due to
18	technical difficulties.
19	

#### 20 Figure 8. The Sec translocon and secreted factors do not influence F. novicida virulence.

- 21 Sec secretion targeted deletion mutants were assessed in *in vitro* and *in vivo* models for *F*.
- 22 tularensis virulence. Entry efficiency of F. novicida strains into RAW264.7 macrophage-like
- 23 cells was measured as the percent of inocula recovered from inside the cells 30min post-infection

1 (A). Intracellular replication of wild-type and mutant bacteria was assessed as fold-replication 2 compared to 30 min counts at 8 h and 24 h post-infection (B). The ability of mutants to colonize 3 the skin after intradermal (C) and the spleen after intraperitoneal (D) routes of inoculation was determined by competitive indices in C57BL/6J mice 2 d post-infection. For all virulence 4 5 assays, no difference was observed between the Sec secretion biofilm mutants and wild-type F. 6 novicida. 7

#### 8 Figure 9. Biofilm mutants are attenuated for attachment to chitin-based crab shell pieces.

9 Stationary phase cultures of secB1, secB2, ostA2, FTN\_0308, FTN\_0714, and FTN\_1750

10 deletion mutants were allowed to attach for 1 h to sterile crab shell pieces. Attached bacteria

11were enumerated for CFU in triplicate samples. Sec secretion biofilm mutants were found to

attach statistically lower (P>0.01) than wild-type F. novicida by unpaired t-test. 12

13

Table 1 – Francisella sp	pecies chitinase genes
--------------------------	------------------------

Strain	<i>chiA</i> homolog (E-value) <sup>*</sup>	<i>chiB</i> homolog (E-value) <sup>*</sup>
F. tularensis subsp. tularensis SchuS4	FTT0715 (9e-66)	FTT_1768c (2e-15)
<i>F. tularensis</i> subsp. <i>tularensis</i> FSC198	FTF0715 (3e-70)	FTF_1768c (2e-15)
F. tularensis subsp. holarctica LVS	FTL_1521 (9e-66)	FTL_0093 (1e-15)
F. tularensis subsp. holarctica OSU18	FTH_1471 (2e-68)	FTH_0088 (1e-15)
<i>F. tularensis</i> subsp. <i>novicida</i> U112	FTN_0627 (4e-69)	FTN_1744 (4e-14)
Francisella philomiragia	Fphi_0215 (1e-66)	Fphi_0864 (1e-15)
•		

Downloaded from aem.asm.org at SERIALS CONTROL Lane Medical Library on June 10, 2010

E-value based on comparison to glycosyl hydrolase 18 family chitinases

Table 2- Sec translocon and Sec-dependent secreted proteins involved in biofilm formation

FTN	ID <sup>a</sup>	Gene	Gene Product	Biological Process	Sec Secretion <sup>b</sup>
FTN_0090	4F07	асрА	acid phosphatase	fatty acids and lipids	Secreted
				metabolism	
FTN_0100	20C12		hypothetical membrane protein	hypothetical - novel	Secreted
FTN_0109	14G06		protein of unknown function	unknown function - novel	Secreted
FTN_0121	26G09	secB1	preprotein translocase, subunit B	motility, attachment and secretion structure	Translocon
FTN_0121	4F06	secB1	preprotein translocase, subunit B	motility, attachment and secretion structure	Translocon
FTN_0191	19 E06		polar amino acid uptake transporter	transport - amino-acid	Secreted
FTN_0304	20C11		pilus assembly protein	motility, attachment and secretion structure	Secreted
FTN_0308	19H06		membrane protein of unknown function	unknown function - novel	Secreted
FTN_0357	21B08	pal	peptidoglycan-associated lipoprotein. OmpA family	transport - drugs / antibacterial compounds	Secreted
FTN_0429	14G12		conserved protein of unknown function	unknown function - conserved	Secreted
FTN_0635	25C04		serine-type D-Ala-D-Ala carboxypeptidase	cell wall / LPS / capsule	Secreted
FTN_0672	12G03	secA	preprotein translocase, subunit A (ATPase, RNA	motility, attachment and secretion structure	Translocon
FTN_0713	14C04	ostA2	nelicase) organic solvent tolerance protein OstA	cell wall / LPS / capsule	Secreted
FTN_0713	21 h10	ostA2	organic solvent tolerance	cell wall / LPS / capsule	Secreted
FTN_0713	26 E07	ostA2	organic solvent tolerance	cell wall / LPS / capsule	Secreted
FTN_0714	12G01		protein of unknown function	unknown function - novel	Secreted
FTN_0714	27C09		protein of unknown function	unknown function - novel	Secreted
FTN_1093	18A05		protein of unknown function	unknown function - novel	Secreted
FTN_1476	26A03		protein of unknown function	unknown function - novel	Secreted
FTN_1503	26A08		protein of unknown function	unknown function - novel	Secreted
FTN_1510	1 E01	secB2	preprotein translocase, subunit B	motility, attachment and secretion structure	Translocon
FTN_1630	13C11	secG	preprotein translocase, subunit G, membrane protein	motility, attachment and secretion structure	Translocon
FTN_1750	19H02		acyltransferase	fatty acids and lipids metabolism	Secreted
FTN_1750	23D04		acyltransferase	fatty acids and lipids metabolism	Secreted

<sup>a</sup> Well ID annotation from BEI Resources *F. novicida* Two-Allele Transposon Library.

<sup>b</sup> Genes labeled translocon are structural components of Sec-dependent secretion. Secreted proteins were predicted using SignalP algorithm.

#### REFERENCES

- Adams, J. L., and R. J. C. McLean. 1999. Impact of rpoS Deletion on Escherichia coli Biofilms. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65:4285-4287.
- Anda P., J. S. d. P., J. M. Díaz García, R. Escudero, F. J. García Peña, M. C. López Velasco, R. E. Sellek, M. R. Jiménez Chillarón, L. P. Sánchez Serrano, and J. F. Martínez Navarro. 2001. Waterborne Outbreak of Tularemia Associated with Crayfish Fishing. Emerging Infectious Diseases 7:575-582.
- 3. Anders, S. 2007. Tularemia: History, Epidemiology, Pathogen Physiology, and Clinical Manifestations. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1105:1-29.
- Balestrino, D., J. M. Ghigo, N. Charbonnel, J. A. Haagensen, and C. Forestier. 2008. The characterization of functions involved in the establishment and maturation of Klebsiella pneumoniae in vitro biofilm reveals dual roles for surface exopolysaccharides. Environ Microbiol 10:685-701.
- Bartnicki-Garcia, S. 1968. Cell wall chemistry, morphogenesis, and taxonomy of fungi. Annu Rev Microbiol 22:87-108.
- Bassler, B. L., C. Yu, Y. C. Lee, and S. Roseman. 1991. Chitin utilization by marine bacteria. Degradation and catabolism of chitin oligosaccharides by Vibrio furnissii. J Biol Chem 266:24276-86.
- Bendtsen, J. D., H. Nielsen, G. von Heijne, and S. Brunak. 2004. Improved prediction of signal peptides: SignalP 3.0. J Mol Biol 340:783-95.
- 8. **Braun, M., and T. J. Silhavy.** 2002. Imp/OstA is required for cell envelope biogenesis in Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol **45**:1289-302.
- 9. Brotcke, A., and D. M. Monack. 2008. Identification of fevR, a novel regulator of virulence gene expression in Francisella novicida. Infect Immun 76:3473-80.
- Brundage, L., J. P. Hendrick, E. Schiebel, A. J. Driessen, and W. Wickner. 1990. The purified E. coli integral membrane protein SecY/E is sufficient for reconstitution of SecA-dependent precursor protein translocation. Cell 62:649-57.
- 11. Celebi, S., M. Hacimustafaoglu, and S. Gedikoglu. 2008. Tularemia in children. Indian Journal of Pediatrics 75:1129-1132.
- Christensen, B. B., C. Sternberg, J. B. Andersen, R. J. Palmer, A. Toftgaard Nielsen, M. Givskov, S. Molin, and J. D. Ron. 1999. [2] Molecular tools for study of biofilm physiology, p. 20-42, Methods in Enzymology, vol. Volume 310. Academic Press.
- 13. Costerton, J. W., P. S. Stewart, and E. P. Greenberg. 1999. Bacterial Biofilms: A Common Cause of Persistent Infections. Science 284:1318-1322.
- 14. **Cowan S.T., G. I. B., Kenneth John Steel, R. K. A. Feltham.** 2004. Cowan and Steel's manual for the identification of medical bacteria, 3rd ed. Cambridge Press.
- Darby, C., J. W. Hsu, N. Ghori, and S. Falkow. 2002. Caenorhabditis elegans: Plague bacteria biofilm blocks food intake. Nature 417:243-244.
- de Lima Pimenta, A., P. Di Martino, E. Le Bouder, C. Hulen, and M. A. Blight. 2003. In vitro identification of two adherence factors required for in vivo virulence of Pseudomonas fluorescens. Microbes Infect 5:1177-87.
- Díaz de Tuesta A.M., C.-Q., M.P. Geijo Martínez, J. Dimas Núñez a, F.J. Díaz de Tuesta, C.R. Herranz, E. Val Pérez 2001. Tularemia outbreak in the province of Cuenca associated with crab handling. Rev Clin Esp 201:385-9.

- Eigelsbach, H. T., and C. M. Downs. 1961. Prophylactic effectiveness of live and killed tularemia vaccines. I. Production of vaccine and evaluation in the white mouse and guinea pig. J Immunol 87:415-25.
- Ellis, J., P. C. F. Oyston, M. Green, and R. W. Titball. 2002. Tularemia. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 15:631-646.
- Entcheva-Dimitrov, P., and A. M. Spormann. 2004. Dynamics and Control of Biofilms of the Oligotrophic Bacterium Caulobacter crescentus. J. Bacteriol. 186:8254-8266.
- Epstein, P. R. 1993. Algal blooms in the spread and persistence of cholera. Biosystems 31:209-21.
- Farlow, J., D. M. Wagner, M. Dukerich, M. Stanley, M. Chu, K. Kubota, J. Petersen, and P. Keim. 2005. Francisella tularensis in the United States. Emerging Infectious Diseases 11:1835-1841.
- Fujise, O., Y. Wang, W. Chen, and C. Chen. 2008. Adherence of Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans via serotype-specific polysaccharide antigens in lipopolysaccharides. Oral Microbiol Immunol 23:226-33.
- Gold, V. A. M., F. Duong, and I. Collinson. 2007. Structure and function of the bacterial Sec translocon (Review). Molecular Membrane Biology 24:387-394.
- Gold, V. A. M., F. Duong, and I. Collinson. 2007. Structure and function of the bacterial Sec translocon (Review). Molecular Membrane Biology 24:387-394.
- Golovliov, I., V. Baranov, Z. Krocova, H. Kovarova, and A. Sjostedt. 2003. An attenuated strain of the facultative intracellular bacterium Francisella tularensis can escape the phagosome of monocytic cells. Infect Immun 71:5940 - 5950.
- Golovliov, I., M. Ericsson, G. Sandstrom, A. Tarnvik, and A. Sjostedt. 1997. Identification of proteins of Francisella tularensis induced during growth in macrophages and cloning of the gene encoding a prominently induced 23-kilodalton protein. Infect. Immun. 65:2183-2189.
- Gunn, J. S., and R. K. Ernst. 2007. The structure and function of Francisella lipopolysaccharide. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1105:202-18.

- Hager, A. J., D. L. Bolton, M. R. Pelletier, M. J. Brittnacher, L. A. Gallagher, R. Kaul, S. J. Skerrett, S. I. Miller, and T. Guina. 2006. Type IV pili-mediated secretion modulates Francisella virulence. Mol Microbiol 62:227-37.
- Hassett, D. J., P. A. Limbach, R. F. Hennigan, K. E. Klose, R. E. W. Hancock, M. D. Platt, and D. F. Hunt. 2003. Bacterial biofilms of importance to medicine and bioterrorism: proteomic techniques to identify novel vaccine components and drug targets. Expert Opinion on Biological Therapy 3:1201-1207.
- Horzempa, J., P. Carlson, D. O'Dee, R. Shanks, and G. Nau. 2008. Global transcriptional response to mammalian temperature provides new insight into Francisella tularensis pathogenesis. BMC Microbiology 8:172.
- 32. Jarrett, Clayton O., E. Deak, Karen E. Isherwood, Petra C. Oyston, Elizabeth R. Fischer, Adeline R. Whitney, Scott D. Kobayashi, Frank R. DeLeo, and B. Â J. Hinnebusch. 2004. Transmission of Yersinia pestis from an Infectious Biofilm in the Flea Vector. The Journal of Infectious Diseases 190:783-792.
- 33. Jing, H., J. Takagi, J.-h. Liu, S. Lindgren, R.-g. Zhang, A. Joachimiak, J.-h. Wang, and T. A. Springer. 2002. Archaeal Surface Layer Proteins Contain [beta] Propeller, PKD, and [beta] Helix Domains and Are Related to Metazoan Cell Surface Proteins. Structure 10:1453-1464.

- Kanehisa, M., M. Araki, S. Goto, M. Hattori, M. Hirakawa, M. Itoh, T. Katayama, S. Kawashima, S. Okuda, T. Tokimatsu, and Y. Yamanishi. 2008. KEGG for linking genomes to life and the environment. Nucleic Acids Res 36:D480-4.
- 35. Kelley, L. A., and M. J. Sternberg. 2009. Protein structure prediction on the Web: a case study using the Phyre server. Nat Protoc 4:363-71.
- 36. Keyhani, N. O., and S. Roseman. 1999. Physiological aspects of chitin catabolism in marine bacteria. Biochim Biophys Acta 1473:108-22.
- 37. **Keyhani, N. O., and S. Roseman.** 1999. Physiological aspects of chitin catabolism in marine bacteria. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) General Subjects **1473**:108-122.
- Kirisits, M. J., and M. R. Parsek. 2006. Does Pseudomonas aeruginosa use intercellular signalling to build biofilm communities? Cell Microbiol 8:1841-9.
- 39. Kraemer, P. S., A. Mitchell, M. R. Pelletier, L. A. Gallagher, M. Wasnick, L. Rohmer, M. J. Brittnacher, C. Manoil, S. J. Skerett, and N. R. Salama. 2009. Genome-Wide Screen in Francisella novicida for Genes Required for Pulmonary and Systemic Infection in Mice. Infect. Immun. 77:232-244.
- Kugeler, K. J., P. S. Mead, A. M. Janusz, J. E. Staples, K. A. Kubota, L. G. Chalcraft, and J. M. Petersen. 2009. Molecular Epidemiology of Francisella tularensis in the United States. Clin Infect Dis 48:863-70.
- Kuoppa, K., Å. Forsberg, and A. Norqvist. 2001. Construction of a reporter plasmid for screening in vivo promoter activity in Francisella tularensis. FEMS Microbiology Letters 205:77-81.
- 42. Letunic, I., T. Doerks, and P. Bork. 2009. SMART 6: recent updates and new developments. Nucl. Acids Res. 37:D229-232.

- Lill R., K. C., L.A. Brundage, K. Ito, D. Oliver, and W. Wickner. 1989. SecA protein hydrolyzes ATP and is an essential component of the protein translocation ATPase of Escherichia coli. EMBO J 8:961–966.
- 44. Liu J., X. Z., J.R. Barker, K.E. Klose. 2007. Construction of targeted insertion mutations in Francisella tularensis subsp. novicida. Biotechniques **43**:487-90.
- Loo, C. Y., D. A. Corliss, and N. Ganeshkumar. 2000. Streptococcus gordonii Biofilm Formation: Identification of Genes that Code for Biofilm Phenotypes. J. Bacteriol. 182:1374-1382.
- Maier, T. M., A. Havig, M. Casey, F. E. Nano, D. W. Frank, and T. C. Zahrt. 2004. Construction and Characterization of a Highly Efficient Francisella Shuttle Plasmid. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70:7511-7519.
- Maier, T. M., R. Pechous, M. Casey, T. C. Zahrt, and D. W. Frank. 2006. In vivo Himar1-based transposon mutagenesis of Francisella tularensis. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:1878-85.
- Matz, C., D. McDougald, A. M. Moreno, P. Y. Yung, F. H. Yildiz, and S. Kjelleberg. 2005. Biofilm formation and phenotypic variation enhance predation-driven persistence of Vibrio cholerae. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:16819-24.
- Meibom, K. L., X. B. Li, A. T. Nielsen, C.-Y. Wu, S. Roseman, and G. K. Schoolnik. 2004. The Vibrio cholerae chitin utilization program. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:2524-2529.
- 50. Melillo, A., D. D. Sledjeski, S. Lipski, R. M. Wooten, V. Basrur, and E. R. Lafontaine. 2006. Identification of a Francisella tularensis LVS outer membrane protein that confers adherence to A549 human lung cells. FEMS Microbiol Lett 263:102-8.

- 51. Merzendorfer, H., and L. Zimoch. 2003. Chitin metabolism in insects: structure, function and regulation of chitin synthases and chitinases. J Exp Biol 206:4393-412.
- Mironchuk I.V., A. V. M. 2002. Viability and virulence of Francisella tularensis subsp. Holarctica in water ecosystems (experimental study). Zh Mikrobiol Epidemiol Immunobiol March-April:9-13.
- 53. Morner, T. 1992. The ecology of tularaemia. Rev Sci Tech 11:1123-30.
- Mueller, R. S., D. McDougald, D. Cusumano, N. Sodhi, S. Kjelleberg, F. Azam, and D. H. Bartlett. 2007. Vibrio cholerae Strains Possess Multiple Strategies for Abiotic and Biotic Surface Colonization. J. Bacteriol. 189:5348-5360.
- Munoz-Elias, E. J., J. Marcano, and A. Camilli. 2008. Isolation of Streptococcus pneumoniae biofilm mutants and their characterization during nasopharyngeal colonization. Infect Immun 76:5049-61.
- Naczk, M., J. Williams, K. Brennan, C. Liyanapathirana, and F. Shahidi. 2004. Compositional characteristics of green crab (Carcinus maenas). Food Chemistry 88:429-434.
- Nigrovic, L. E., and S. L. Wingerter. 2008. Tularemia. Infectious Disease Clinics of North America 22:489-504.
- O'Toole, G. A., and R. Kolter. 1998. Flagellar and twitching motility are necessary for Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm development. Mol Microbiol 30:295-304.
- O'Toole, G. A., and R. Kolter. 1998. Initiation of biofilm formation in Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS365 proceeds via multiple, convergent signalling pathways: a genetic analysis. Mol Microbiol 28:449-61.

- 60. **Orikoshi, H., S. Nakayama, C. Hanato, K. Miyamoto, and H. Tsujibo.** 2005. Role of the N-terminal polycystic kidney disease domain in chitin degradation by chitinase A from a marine bacterium, Alteromonas sp. strain O-7. J Appl Microbiol **99:**551-7.
- Owen, C. R., E. O. Buker, W. L. Jellison, D. B. Lackman, and J. F. Bell. 1964.
   COMPARATIVE STUDIES OF FRANCISELLA TULARENSIS AND FRANCISELLA NOVICIDA. J. Bacteriol. 87:676-683.
- 62. **Oyston, P. C., A. Sjostedt, and R. W. Titball.** 2004. Tularaemia: bioterrorism defence renews interest in Francisella tularensis. Nat Rev Microbiol **2**:967 978.
- Oyston, P. C. F. 2008. Francisella tularensis: unravelling the secrets of an intracellular pathogen. J Med Microbiol 57:921-930.
- 64. **Palo, R., C. Ahlm, and A. Tärnvik.** 2005. Climate variability reveals complex events for tularemia dynamics in man and mammals. Ecology and Society **10**:22.
- Paranjpye, R. N., and M. S. Strom. 2005. A Vibrio vulnificus Type IV Pilin Contributes to Biofilm Formation, Adherence to Epithelial Cells, and Virulence. Infect. Immun. 73:1411-1422.
- 66. **Pruzzo, C., L. Vezzulli, and R. R. Colwell.** 2008. Global impact of Vibrio cholerae interactions with chitin. Environ Microbiol **10**:1400-10.
- Rosen, D. A., J. S. Pinkner, J. M. Jones, J. N. Walker, S. Clegg, and S. J. Hultgren. 2008. Utilization of an Intracellular Bacterial Community Pathway in Klebsiella pneumoniae Urinary Tract Infection and the Effects of FimK on Type 1 Pilus Expression. Infect. Immun. 76:3337-3345.
- Schultz, J. r., F. Milpetz, P. Bork, and C. P. Ponting. 1998. SMART, a simple modular architecture research tool: Identification of signaling domains. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 95:5857-5864.

- 69. Shahabuddin, M., and J. M. Vinetz. 1999. Chitinases of human parasites and their implications as antiparasitic targets. EXS 87:223-34.
- Shanks, R. M. Q., N. A. Stella, E. J. Kalivoda, M. R. Doe, D. M. O'Dee, K. L. Lathrop, F. L. Guo, and G. J. Nau. 2007. A Serratia marcescens OxyR Homolog Mediates Surface Attachment and Biofilm Formation. J. Bacteriol. 189:7262-7272.
- 71. **Sjostedt, A.** 2007. Tularemia: history, epidemiology, pathogen physiology, and clinical manifestations. Ann N Y Acad Sci **1105:**1-29.
- Su, J., J. Yang, D. Zhao, T. H. Kawula, J. A. Banas, and J.-R. Zhang. 2007. Genome-Wide Identification of Francisella tularensis Virulence Determinants. Infect. Immun. 75:3089-3101.
- 73. Takeo, S., D. Hisamori, S. Matsuda, J. Vinetz, J. Sattabongkot, and T. Tsuboi. 2009. Enzymatic characterization of the Plasmodium vivax chitinase, a potential malaria transmission-blocking target. Parasitol Int 58:243-8.
- 74. Thelaus, J., A. Andersson, P. Mathisen, A. L. Forslund, L. Noppa, and M. Forsman. 2009. Influence of nutrient status and grazing pressure on the fate of Francisella tularensis in lake water. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 67:69-80.
- Thormann, K. M., S. Duttler, R. M. Saville, M. Hyodo, S. Shukla, Y. Hayakawa, and A. M. Spormann. 2006. Control of formation and cellular detachment from Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 biofilms by cyclic di-GMP. J Bacteriol 188:2681-91.
- Thormann, K. M., R. M. Saville, S. Shukla, D. A. Pelletier, and A. M. Spormann. 2004. Initial Phases of Biofilm Formation in Shewanella oneidensis MR-1. J. Bacteriol. 186:8096-8104.
- 77. Valent, Q. A., P.A. Scotti, S. High, J.W. de Gier, G. von Heijne, G. Lentzen, W. Wintermeyer, B. Oudega, and J. Luirink. 1998. The Escherichia coli SRP and SecB targeting pathways converge at the translocon. EMBO J 19:2504–2512.

- Vilain, S., J. M. Pretorius, J. Theron, and V. S. Brozel. 2009. DNA as an adhesin: Bacillus cereus requires extracellular DNA to form biofilm. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.: AEM.01317-08.
- 79. Vinetz, J. M., S. K. Dave, C. A. Specht, K. A. Brameld, B. Xu, R. Hayward, and D. A. Fidock. 1999. The chitinase PfCHT1 from the human malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum lacks proenzyme and chitin-binding domains and displays unique substrate preferences. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96:14061-6.
- Weiss, D. S., A. Brotcke, T. Henry, J. J. Margolis, K. Chan, and D. M. Monack.
   2007. In vivo negative selection screen identifies genes required for Francisella virulence.
   Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:6037-42.
- Willke, A., M. Meric, R. Grunow, M. Sayan, E. J. Finke, W. Splettstosser, E. Seibold, S. Erdogan, O. Ergonul, Z. Yumuk, and S. Gedikoglu. 2009. An outbreak of oropharyngeal tularaemia linked to natural spring water. J Med Microbiol 58:112-116.
- 82. Yusof, N. L., L. Y. Lim, and E. Khor. 2004. Flexible chitin films: structural studies. Carbohydr Res **339**:2701-11.

Figure 1.



Figure 2.







# Figure 4.



Figure 5.









# Figure 8.



Figure 9.

